

**RECEIVED**

APR 18 2002

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 28341/10101
PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of: Arthur G. Romero)

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING)

(37 CFR 1.8))

Serial No.: 09/313,534)

I hereby certify that this paper is
deposited with the United States Postal
Service as First Class Mail, postage pre-
paid, in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents,
Washington, D.C. 20231 on April 5, 2002.)

Filed: May 13, 1999)

For: Heterocyclic Amines Having Central
Nervous System Activity)

Group Art Unit: 1625)


Mark H. Hopkins, Ph.D.)

Examiner: P. Morris)

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C.

SIR:

Applicant hereby appeals to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences from the decision of the examiner dated October 5, 2001 to reject all claims of the present reissue application. In the final action, the application was rejected under 35 USC §251, the Examiner having alleged that the applicants have failed to allege an error that is correctable through reissue. Although the applicants have alleged that through error without deceptive intent, they failed to claim the full scope of their invention, and have presented broadening claims 9-12, the Examiner has challenged the sufficiency of the reissue application as explained above. Moreover, the Examiner has also restricted claims 9-12 from original claims 1-8 in a five-way restriction requirement. In the most recent advisory action, the Examiner presently suggests that this application should be classified as a "no defect" reissue because claims 1-8 have no error and claims 9-12 have been restricted and withdrawn from consideration.

Together with this Notice of appeal, the Applicants have filed a petition requesting reconsideration of the final restriction requirement. If the petition is successful, then one or more of claims 9-12 will be re-introduced into the application for examination on the merits. At that time, either the Patent Office will withdraw its rejection and examine the new claims on the merits, or will maintain its rejection under 35 USC §251, which is the subject of this appeal.

Enclosed is a check in the amount of \$320.00, the requisite fee under 37 CFR 1.17(b)

~~04/16/2002 TBESHAH1 00000037 132855 09313534~~~~01 FC:119 320.00 OP~~

04/16/2002 TBESHAH1 00000038 132855 09313534

01 FC:119 563705v1 320.00 OP

04/16/2002 TBESHAH1 00000037 132855 09313534
320.00 OP
04/16/2002 TBESHAH1 00000038 132855 09313534
320.00 OP